## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Longrass 1-24HSU
Location: NW NE Section 24 T25N R52E
County: Richland , MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: 30-40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple drilling derrick rig (900-1000 HP
Estimated) to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.
<u>13,254'MD/8627'TVD.</u>
Possible H2S gas production: Slight
In/near Class I air quality area: No, Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required
<u>under 75-2-211.</u>
Mitigation:
$\underline{X}$ Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: No special concerns.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Will use freshwater and freshwater mud system on surface hole, oil
based invert drilling fluids for the intermediate hole and saltwater (brine) to drill horizontal
lateral to TD.
High water table: No high water table anticipated at this location.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, location is about 1/4 of a mile to the east of an
unnamed ephemeral tributary to Grass Creek.
Water well contamination: No, closest water well is a mile north from this location and
is 80' in depth. This well will drill surface hole with freshwater to 1000'. Will set steel
suface casing and cement to surface from 1000'.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sand clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments: 1000' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect
freshwater zones and to cover base of Fox Hills formation. Also, fresh water
mud systems to be used on surface hole. Drilling fluids will be recycled.
Reserve pit completion liquids to be hauled to a commercial Class II disposal. Pit
contents will be buried in the lined pit, top soil spread over pit area.

## Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns) Steam crossings: None anticipated. High erosion potential: not likely -, moderate cut, up to 11.5' and moderate fill, up to 7.4', required. Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed. Unusually large wellsite: No 400'X450' location size required. Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is culvivated. Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight  Mitigation  Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance) Exception location requested X Stockpile topsoil Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review) X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive Special construction methods to enhance reclamation X Other: Requires DEQ General Permit for Storm Water Discharge Associated with Construction Activity, under ARM 17.30.1102(28).
Comments: Using existing county roads, 480. An access off the existing county road, #480 will be built into this wellsite, about ½ mile required. Invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Reserve pit completion liquids to be hauled to a commercial Class II disposal. Solids will be buried in the lined pit. No special concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: None nearby Possibility of H2S: Slight Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 30 to 40 days drilling time. Mitigation:
Wildlife/recreation  (possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None, identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No  Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Mitigation:  Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)  Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite Other:
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological (possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites: None identified  Mitigation
<ul> <li>avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)</li> <li>other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: Development well. No concerns
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
nemarks of Special Concerns for this site
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well,
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected . Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond (title:) Administrator
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well, Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond  (title): Administrator
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected . Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond (title:) Administrator
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well, Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected . Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond (title:) Administrator Date: September 6, 2013  Other Persons Contacted:  Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.  Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected . Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond (title:) Administrator  Date: September 6, 2013  Other Persons Contacted:  Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Name and Agency)
Well is a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well, Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects  No long term impacts expected . Some short term impacts will occur.  I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/does not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.  Prepared by (BOGC): Thomas Richmond (title:) Administrator Date: September 6, 2013  Other Persons Contacted:  Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website

(date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
September 6, 2013 (date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: